#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 300 700 CG 021 195

AUTHOR Kotch, Jonathan B.; And Others

TITLE Bureaucratic Abuse and the False Dichotomy between

Intentional and Unintentional Child Injuries.

PUB DATE 20 Oct 87

NOTE 33p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

American Public Health Association (115th, New

Orleans, LA, October 18-22, 1987).

PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Information

Analyses (070)

EDRS PRICE MFO1 Plus Postage. PC Not Available from EDRS.

DESCRIPTORS \*Accidents; \*Child Abuse; \*Child Welfare; \*Injuries;

Models

#### ABSTRACT

This paper examines the arbitrary distinctions between intentional and unintentional child injuries, noting that a careful review of the literature of both child abuse and unintentional child injury revealed similarities among the risk factors associated with the two outcomes. A single, multifactor model of injury etiology, the ecologic model, is described, providing a framework for systematically comparing risk factors for abuse with those for unintentional injuries. The etiology of childhood injury is examined in an ecological context, making possible a unified approach to prevention of many kinds of intentional and unintentional child injuries. While the model is described as useful in bringing together research on child abuse and research on unintentional child injuries, it is noted that the social responses to abuse on the one hand and to unintentional injuries on the other remain very different. Individual, familial, social, and cultural factors in childhood injury are identified and the role of social support and social networks is explored. Because the ecological model postulates that life events, chronic stressors, and perceived stress immediately precede an injury event, whether intentional or unintentional, stress and life events are considered in the context of their universality in both types of injury. Implications for prevention and treatment are discussed, and a list of 109 references is appended. (Author/NB)

\*



<sup>\*</sup> Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

<sup>\*</sup> from the original document. \*

# BUREAUCRATIC ABUSE AND THE FALSE DICHOTOMY BETWEEN INTENTIONAL AND UNINTENTIONAL CHILD INJURIES

Jonathan B. Kotch, M.D., M.P.H. Dorothy C. Browne, Dr.P.H. Christopher L. Ringwalt, Dr.P.H.

Department of Maternal and Child Health CB+7400 Rosenau Hall University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599

CONDENSED TITLE: Intentional and Unintentional Child Injuries

RUNNING FOOT: Child Injuries

Presented at the 115th Annual Meeting of the American Public Health Association, New Orleans, Louisiana, October 20, 1987

U S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

☐ Minor change have been made to improve reproduction quain,

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Jonathan B. Kotch

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."



Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERs position or policy

## BUREAUCRATIC ABUSE AND THE FALSE DICHOTOMY BETWEEN INTENTIONAL AND UNINTENTIONAL INJURIES

#### **ABSTRACT**

An examination of research into risk factors for other intentional or unintentional child injuries reveals a number of similarities. A single, multifactor model of injury etiology, the ecologic model, provides a framework for systematically comparing risk factors for abuse with those for unintentional injuries. Despite the usefulness of the model in bringing together the two streams of research, the social responses to abuse on the one hand and to unintentional injuries on the other remain very different. Two separate bureaucracies, one of which emphasizes assignment of blame and the other of which accepts the premise that most unintentional injuries are "accidental," present barriers to primary prevention of either abuse or unintertional injury among children.



## BUREAUCRATIC ABUSE AND THE FALSE DICHOTOMY BETWEEN INTENTIONAL AND UNINTENTIONAL CHILD INJURIES

#### INTRODUCTION

This paper examines the arbitrary distinction between intentional and unintentional child injuries. After a careful review of the literatures of both child abuse and unintentional child injury, we have been struck with the similarities among the risk factors associated with the two outcomes. The continuing dichotomy, however, has spawned not only two distinct academic schools of thought, but distinct bureaucracies for dealing with the problems. real victim in this schism is primary prevention, which is ultimately achievable through interventions which address risk factors. If in fact there are similarities among the risk factors for the two types of injuries, then it follows that there may be similar strategies for prevention. Yet, with two distinct constituencies involved, efforts at prevention may be inefficient at best, or even hampered. examining the etiology of childhood injury in an ecological context, a unified approach to prevention of many kinds of child injuries, both intentional and unintentional, is possible.

New interest in the prevention of unintentional injuries in childhood has resulted from an appreciation of the morbidity and mortality burden experienced by children as a result of such injuries. There is now a consensus that



injuries constitute the most severe health problem faced by young children in this country (1,2). Injuries are responsible for more deaths among children aged one to fifteen than the combined total of the next six leading pediatric disorders (3). The home is the locus for most childhood injuries (4). Over 90% of all injuries to children up to age four occur in and around the home (5), and, of fatal injuries to this ge group, more than 50% occur in or close to the home (3).

Both Rivara (6) and Berger (7) emphasize that injury research efforts should focus on the prevention of household injuries to infants and toddlers. Young children, it is said, are deserving of attention because they lack the cognitive and motor abilities to avoid environmental hazards (7,8). In addition, the economic costs of injuries to this population are severe both in terms of interference with normal development and lost opportunities for education. Rivara (6) points out that while the direct costs associated with childhood injuries are substantial, they are dwarfed by the indirect costs caused by resulting disabling conditions.

Child abuse and neglect together constitute a major cause of childhood injury. Approximately 10.7% of children in a national sample are at significant annual risk of exposure to severe acts of parent to child violence (9). Extrapolating from the results of a second study (10), acts



of child neglect likely to result in serious injury or in the exacerbation or prolongation of injury may add an additional 3 or 4% to this figure.

That intentional and unintentional injuries are often mistaken one for the other is one example of evidence that the two overlap. Pediatric house officers are trained to recognize suspicious injuries which masquerade as so-called "accidents". Depending upon your vantage point, this is called fostering a low threshold or a high index of suspicion. TABLE 1 lists injuries highly suggestive of child abuse.

#### [INSERT TABLE 1 HERE]

Instead of focusing on the nature of the injury, our approach looks at etiology according to an ecological model which accommodates both intentional and unintentional injuries. This model is derived from Garbarino (11) and Belsky (12) who have proposed an ecological approach to understanding child maltreatment. The model attempts to consolidate single factor theories of causation, such as the psychopathological and the social determinant models, into a single, multifactorial schema. As elaborated by Howze and Kotch (13), the ecological model postulates that individual, family, social and cultural variables interact with such factors as life events and stress to create conditions conducive to child abuse and neglect. Central to this model



is the proposition that, in the absence of supportive networks, the demands of daily living and/or the acute crises of the life cycle may combine with risks present in the above-mentioned categories to lead to child maltreatment. This model (FIG. 1) provides a conceptual framework for integrating two largely disparate literatures concerning the etiologies of child injuries and child maltreatment. While support for the inclusion of both kinds of injuries in this model is derived from the findings discussed below, gaps in our knowledge of the relationship between some risk factors and social support and social networks remain.

[INSERT FIG.1 HERE]

#### INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILIAL FACTORS

A look at the risk factors in the individual category indicates that these are surprisingly similar for both intentional and unintentional injuries (Table 2).

Researchers have suggested that characteristics of both children and their parents may contribute to child maltreatment. Child factors include prematurity (14, 15), congenital abnormalities (16-18), and the child's own behavior (19), disposition (20,21), and development (22). It has also been pointed out that the infant's temperament may influence child maltreatment (23). In this regard, Butterfield and his associates (24) reported that motor



activity, responsiveness, ease of arousal and state of organization were predictive of hostile parenting during first year of life.

#### [INSERT TABLE 2 HERE]

It is widely agreed that unintentional childhood injuries are also influenced by the child's individual motor, cognitive, behavioral, and developmental characteristics (7, 8, 25) as well as by aggressive behavior (26-28). One study of nearly 5000 infants of both normal and low birth weight (29) found that children's rates of injuries increased substantially during their first year, consistent with their attainment of independent mobility. In a study of injury repeaters aged 4 though 18 (30), a relationship was found between injury liability and a variety of behavioral characteristics indicative both of increased exposure to hazards and of reduced self-control to cope with hazards. A recent study of the social and behavioral characteristics of 12,000 five-year-old children (25) found a similar association, even when controlling for social class, crowding, and maternal psychological distress, age, and marital status. In Matheny's (31) longitudinal study of 116 toddlers, those who were most easy to manage were least likely to suffer injuries. While numerous studies have found that children's aggressive behavior is significantly related to a variety of unintentional injuries (8, 26-28, 30-36), some have not (37-39).



Descriptions of individual factors attributed to perpetrators involved in child maltreatment are legion, beginning with Kempe's (40) initial observations that perpetrators of abuse are immature, impulsive, self-centered and quick to react. Other researchers have characterized abusive parents as lacking self-esteem and having no sense of self-worth (41-45). One characteristic that has repeatedly been found to be associated with child maltreatment is the mother's own history of rejection (46) and of family violence, physical abuse or sexual abuse as a child (20, 47-52). These reports are suggestive of the intergenerational transmission of family violence, although this observation has recently been called into question (53).

Morbidity (54), psychosomatic symptoms (55, 56) and depression are also used in connection with the abusive parent. Steele and Pollock (48) found that abusing parents were depressed, but noted that only a handful of such parents could be described as clinically depressed.

Instead, they found that the majority of abusive and neglectful parents reported that they tend to feel depressed at one time or another. A recent study (43) concluded that abusive mothers showed a tendency to be apathetic and depressed.

Maternal depression has also been linked with unintentional childhood injury. In a random sample of 458



women, it was found that the presence of a psychiatric disorder in the women greatly increased the risk of unintentional childhood injuries (57). The authors suggest that this relationship may be due to more than inadequate supervision; it may be caused by an increase in irritability or attenuated interest in the child's welfare. More recently, Matheny (58) has linked injuries to emotional instability, inactivity, and lack of energy.

Current violence toward the mother may be a causal factor in both intentional and unintentional childhood injuries. Straus, Gelles, and Steinmetz (59) found higher rates of child abuse in homes where spouse abuse occurred. In a clinical sample of 60 battered women, Hilberman and Munson (60) found that one-third of the children were physically or sexually abused. They also found a high incid ince of somatic, psychological, and behavioral dysfunctions in the women and the children, even in children who were not being physically or sexually abused. Current violence against the mother may lead to fear-induced child behaviors that make her children more demanding and thus more vulnerable either to aggressive acts or to distancing behaviors of caregivers that limit the protective supervision that small children need. Alternatively, aggression against the mother may result in anxiety and depression, rendering her less capable of providing sensitive caregiving (60). Unfortunately, the prevailing practice of studying child abuse and spouse abuse as



discrete phenomena rather than studying these aspects of family violence simultaneously has led to fragmentation in our knowledge base and to limits in our understanding of how violence against one member of the family results in intentional injuries toward another member of the family. In addition, the potential link between violence toward the mother and unintentional injuries in children has been undeservedly ignored.

Moving on to familial factors, both the child abuse literature and the injury literature have cited single parenthood (39, 61, 62) and marital problems such as marital violence (39, 60) in association with their respective outcomes of interest.

#### SOCIAL AND CULTURAL FACTORS

Perhaps more compelling are the links between socioeconomic status (SES) and both intentional and unintentional injuries. Childhood injury rates have frequently been associated with education (58) and with socio-economic status (58, 63-70), although that association is by no means universally reported (26, 29, 71). It has been suggested that SES may affect injury rates through such mediating factors as the physical neighborhood, the home environment, and the effect of poverty on hunger, family stress, and parents' attentiveness to their children (2, 7). Social class as reflected by income has been found to be



researchers contend that child maltreatment of all types occurs more often in lower socio-economic classes (9, 72). Gelles (9) notes that families below the poverty line have rates of child abuse which exceed by a factor of two those of families making \$25,000 or more a year. However, as other researchers have noted, not all poor families abuse their children, and abuse can be found in affluent families.

Finally, there may be some similarities between cultural beliefs and values associated with the two kinds of injuries. In the case of child maltreatment, attention has been paid to perceptions of cultural values that sanction corporal punishment (73, 74) and to inappropriate child development expectations (75). The extent to which these may be associated with cultural beliefs which reinforce the notion that injuries are acts of God or simply a consequence of fate are unexplored (76).

#### SOCIAL SUPPORT AND SOCIAL NETWORKS

That social support may be a mediating factor in the etiology of child maltreatment has been demonstrated by research showing that abusive and neglectful families are isolated from formal and informal support systems (77, 78). Social support may affect such parental factors as physical and psychological health (79, 80), maternal punitiveness (81), and the home stimulation of infants (82). It is



hypothesized that among the most critical sources of social support for parents is a close confiding relationship with an intimate, especially a spouse or partner (49, 83-86). Other sources of support, particularly from relatives and close friends, may also significantly affect parent-child relations (86, 87). The link between social support and social networks on the one hand and childhood injuries on the other is less well established. However, there is some limited evidence that parents of children with histories of repeated injuries tend to be socially isolated (39). At best, these relationships must be considered tentative, since at least one study has failed to find such a relationship (88).

#### STRESS AND LIFE EVENTS

Lastly, the ecological model postulates that life events, chronic stressors, and perceived stress immediately precede an injury event, be it intentional or, in many cases, unintentional.

Many studies have noted that factors related to stress have often been associated with the incidence of unintentional childhood injuries. These include stress-inducing life events such as marital conflict (39), poor marital relationships (37, 89), single parenthood (8, 39, 88), financial difficulties, and disability and death (90). Stressful life events have been linked empirically to



unintentional injuries, burns, and poisonings by a large number of researchers (8, 26, 91-95), but not by all (39). In one case-control study (95), 50 children treated for burns were matched with 41 healthy children on social class, ethnic background, age, and sex. The authors found that the parents of the burned children tended to be more preoccupied with any of a series of family problems, such as illness, unemployment, pregnancy, housing, finance, and concerns over the child or siblings. In a study by Padilla (91) boys of junior high school age were rated as to the number of their stressful life changes. Boys with many life changes were much more likely to be injured (p<.005). In a major prospective study of a birth cohort of 1082 children from ages one to four, family life events were found to be associated with medical consultation and hospital attendance and admission for burns, scalds, and unintentional poisoning (37). This association persisted (p<.0001) even when the researchers controlled for a variety of familial sociodemographic factors, including maternal age, ethnic status, education, and family size and standard of living.

The strength of this effect has been variously interpreted. It has been suggested that familial stress may decrease the ability of the mother to cope which may, in turn, decrease her vigilance over her children and thus increase their risk of injury (37, 90, 91, 94). For the same reason, she may actually increase environmental hazards by not correctly storing potentially injurious household



items (90, 93). Alternately, children's own behavior may change (93). They may be preoccupied with these stressful life events and thus may fail to attend adequately to hazards in their environment (91, 94). It has also been proposed that children under stress may be manifesting behavior designed to get attention (91, 94) or to reduce their parents' preoccupation with other family problems (90).

Child maltreatment has also been linked to various sources of stress, including single parenthood (62) and marital discord (20, 59). Stress has been posited as an antecedent variable that may explain the link between depression and child abuse (96, 97) and that may also induce child behavioral problems (98, 99). Borrowing from research on stress and physical and mental health, child abuse and neglect researchers have found life events to be associated with child maltreatment (100-103). Recently emphasis has been paid to the effects of mental health and daily stressors, as opposed to more major life events (96, 104, 105).

#### IMPLICATIONS FOR PREVENTION AND TREATMENT

The above observations linking the risk factors associated with both intentional and unintentional injuries have only become possible recently because of the proliferation of studies of injuries in the last two decades. Prior to the mid-1960's, neither child abuse nor



"accidents" received much attention from health professionals. Child abuse was in the social welfare domain, and "accidents" were the preoccupation of the safety establishment. Despite the absence of a conspicuous medical role in child abuse, the welfare model emphasized diagnosis and treatment, whereas the safety constituency has concerned itself from the outset with prevention.

Coincidentally, both kinds of injury came to the attention of the health communicy at about the same time, with Kempe's (40) influential article in the Journal of the American Medical Association which introduced the term. "battered child," and with the American Public Health Association publication, Accident Prevention (106). Yet, the child abuse constituency continued to be constrained by a treatment model which diverted attention from prevention. The safety establishment on the other hand seemed to welcome their medical allies and the development of emergency medical service systems including the regionalization of trauma centers. However, this marriage didn't make all of the injury prevention advocates comfortable with many of the injuries their emergency room colleagues were seeing. State Child Injury Prevention Project (SCIPP) in Massachusetts, for example, didn't publish any homicide or suicide data in its report of emergency room surveillance (107). This may be related to a natural disinclination to get involved with crime, the police, or the courts, where child abuse specialists spend a lot of their time. It seems



that many efforts at prevention could benefit from the joint efforts of both the intentional and the unintentional injury constituencies to address similar risk factors. There are some environmental risk factors which are common to both. Handguns are an obvious example. Four hundred children shoot themselves every year (108). At the same time, about 1,000 abused children die each year, some of them of bullet wounds (109). It seems natural that child abuse prevention advocates and injury prevention advocates should work together to regulate the availability and distribution of handguns.

Paradoxically, it may be the child victim of an unintentional injury who is deprived of important follow-up services as a consequence of the lingering dichotomy. definition, a childhood injury that is classified as "unintentional" in nature absolves the child's parcits from any conscious or willful responsibility for the injury. classification implies that if the parents could have foreseen the injury, they would have taken measures to prevent it. The injury is thus perceived to have occurred by chance, or its causes are thought to reside in the physical environment, perhaps in conjunction with physical, social or psychological characteristics of the injured child. If the injury is thought to be a true "accident," the child is treated medically for the consequences of the injury and released, under the assumption that he or she is at no increased risk of a repeated injury. If the cause of



the injury is ascribed to some persistent hazard in the environment, the parents may be advised to take precautions to protect the child by removing the hazard or keeping the child away from it. Generally speaking, the advice is delivered by the medical provider while attending to the medical needs of the child; there is usually no follow-up to determine the impact of that advice.

On the other hand, the cause of an injury that is labeled "intentional" is attributed directly to a conscious and deliberate act of parental commission or omission. is, the cause of the injury may be traced directly back to some action which the parent(s) purposefully took, or failed to take. These parents are then suspected of having committed an act of abuse or neglect, and the consequences of such a label may be far-reaching. If the parents are reported to a child protective services agency, as is required by law in all states, the family is subjected to an investigation to determine whether the report should be substantiated. Such an investigation may become known to the family's friends and neighbors, and the parents may then be stigmatized regardless of the investigation's outcome. If the investigation substantiates the report, the child then receives protective services. Under the best of circumstances, these services often take the twin forms of counseling for the parents and periodic inspection of the child and home. Such counseling is usually focused on child management behaviors, but may include social services



designed to identify needed supports and resources for the family. In some cases, parents who are deemed resistant to protective services investigation, or whose child is thought to be at significant risk of repeated injury, may be involved in legal proceedings. In relatively rare cases, the child may be physically removed from the home. Clearly, child protective services may be highly invasive and disruptive to family functioning.

The complex and interactive nature of psychological, social, and environmental factors in the etiology of childhood injuries suggests that societal response to such injuries may be significantly inadequate, regardless of whether such injuries are labeled as intentional or unintentional in origin. If the injury is thought to be unintentional, generally no attention is directed towards determining and ameliorating factors relating to stress and social support that may be implicated in the injury and that may place the child at increased risk of future injuries. If the injury is labelled intentional, not only does the overextended child protective services agency lack sufficient resources to provide the continuing, labor intensive services necessary to reduce familial stress, but the intervention itself may substantially increase the burden of stress that is already on the family. Furthermore, it seems plausible that the stigma attached to the label may have an adverse impact on the family's network



110

of social relationships. It would thus seem that the intervention may have an introgenic effect, exacerbating the very conditions that it seeks to ameliorate.

The need is thus apparent for a broader, more humane, and more effective societal strategy to respond to childhood injuries. Such a strategy should, for a start, recognize the evident likelihood that individual, family, social, and cultural characteristics may contribute to many intentional and unintentional childhood injuries. It should emphasize the need for research to compare the etiologies of injuries labeled as intentional and unintentional, to examine the extent to which such characteristics are implicated in injuries, and to determine which child populations are at significantly elevated risk of injury. This information is crucial to the prevention of injury via reduction of both physical and social risks. In the case of services for injuries that will undoubtedly continue to occur, medical providers should routinely address the reduction of risk of future injury as an integral part of the provision of medical services. Finally, the obliteration of the distinction, for treatment purposes, between intentional and unintentional injuries can facilitate family acceptance of the intervention free of the label of parental intentionality and without its attendant stigma.



It seems clear that there will always be a need for child protective services, because there will always be families which decline, or are unable, to alter the situations which give rise to childhood injuries. However, to the extent that the psychological, social, and environmental causes of such injuries are seen to be and are treated as universal, and to the extent that the label of parental intention is seen to be without true merit, the acceptability and effectiveness of protective services should increase commensurately.



#### REFERENCES

- 1. DERSHEWITZ, RA and WILLIAMSON, JW: Prevention of Childhood Household Injuries: A Controlled Clinical Trial. American Journal of Public Health 1977; 67:1148-1153.
- 2. RIVARA, FP: Epidemiology of Violent Deaths in Children and Adolescents in the United States. <u>Pediatrician</u> 1983-85; 12:3-10.
- 3. NATIONAL SAFETY COUNCIL: <u>Accident Facts 1975 Edition</u>. Chicago, National Safety Council, 1981.
- 4. BACKETT, EM: <u>Domestic Accidents</u>. Geneva, World Health Organization, 1965.
- 5. TOKUHATA, GK, COLFLESH, VG, DIGON, E, et al: <u>Childhood</u>
  <u>Injuries Associated With Consumer Products</u>. San Diego,
  Academic Press, 1974.
- 6. RIVARA, FP: Epidemiology of Childhood Injuries. <u>American</u> <u>Journal of Diseases in Childhood</u> 1982; <u>136</u>:399-405.
- 7. BERGER, LR: Childhood Injuries. <u>Public Health Reports</u> 1985; 100:572-574.
- 8. MEYER, RJ, ROELOFS, H, BLUESTONE, J and REDMOND, S: Accidental Injury to the Preschool Child. <u>Journal of Pediatrics</u> 1963; 63:95-105.
- 9. GELLES, R: Family Violence: What We Know and Can Do, in Newberger, E, and Borne. R (eds): <u>Unhappy Families</u>, Littleton, PSG Publishing Company, 1985, pp. 1-8.
- 10. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES. <u>National Study of the Incidence and Severity of Child Abuse and Neglect</u>. DHHS Pub. No. OHDS 81-30325 (1981).
- 11. GARBARINO, J: The Human Ecology of Child Maltreatment. Journal of Marriage and the Family 1977; 39:721-725.
- 12. BELSKY, J: Early Human Experience: A Family Perspective.

  Developmental Psychology 1981; 17:3-23.
- 13. HOWZE, DC and KOTCH, JB Disentangling Life Events, Stress and Social Support: Implications for the Primary Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect. Child Abuse and Neglect 1984; 8:401-409.
- 14. BURGESS, R and GARBARINO, J: Doing What Comes Naturally? An Evolutionary Perspective on Child Abuse, in Finkelhor, D, (ed): The Dark Side of Families, Beverly Hills, Sage Publications, 1983, pp. 88-101.



- 15. TURNBULL, CD, FLETCHER, JD and ATKINSON, D: Child Abuse and Neglect of Resident Live Births, Durham County. North Carolina Medical Journal 1981; 42:851-853.
- 16. EGELAND, B and BRUNNQUELL, BA An At-risk Approach to the Study of Child Abuse. American Academy of Child Psychiatry 1979; 18:219-235.
- 17. CASEY, PH, BRADLEY, R and WORTHAM, B: Social and Non-social Home Environments of Infants with Nonorganic Failure-To-Thrive. Pediatrics 1984; 73:348-353.
- 18. HERRENKOHL, EC and HERRENKOHL, RC: A Comparison of Abused Children and Their Nonabused Siblings. <u>Journal of the Academy of Child Psychiatry</u> 1979; <u>18</u>:260-269.
- 19. FRODI, AM and LAMB, ME: Child Abusers' Responses to Infant Smiles and Cries. Child Development 1980; 51:238-241.
- 20. HERRENKOHL, EC, HERRENKOHL, RC and TOEDTER, LJ: Perspective on the Intergenerational Transmission of Abuse, in Finkelhor, D, (ed): The Dark Side of Families, Beverly Hill, Sage Publications, 1983, pp. 305-316.
- 21. DONOVAN, WL, LEAVITT, LA and BALLING, JD: Maternal Physiological Response to Infant Signals. <u>Psychophysiology</u> 1978; <u>15</u>:68-74.
- 22. KEMPE, R: Related Aspects in Child Psychiatry, in Helfer, RE, and Kempe, CH, (eds): Child Abuse and Neglect: The Family and the Community, Cambridge, Ballinger, 1976, pp. 64-73.
- 23. PARKE, R and COLLMER, C. Child Abuse: An Interdisciplinary Analysis, In: Hetherington, EM, (ed): Review of Child Development Research, Vol. 5, Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1975, pp. 509-598.
- 24. BUTTERFIELD, P, VAN DORRNICK, W, DAWSON, P and ALEXANDER, H: Early Identification of Disparenting. Paper Presented at Meeting of the Society of Research in Child Development, San Francisco, Cal. (March, 1979).
- 25. BIJUR, PE, STEWART-BROWN, S and BUTLER, N: Child Behavior and Accidental Injury in 11,966 Preschool Children. American Journal of Diseases of Children 1986; 140:487-492.
- 26. SHAW, MT: Accidental Poisoning in Children: A Psychosocial Study. New Zealand Medical Journal 1977; 85:269-272.
- 27. LANGLEY, J, SILVA, P and WILLIAMS, S: A Study of the Relationship of Ninety Background, Developmental, Behavioral and Medical Factors to Childhood Accidents. Australian Pediatric Journal 1980; 16:244-247.



- 28. CHRISTOFFEL, TK, ANZINGER, NK and AMARI, M: Homicide in Childhood. American Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology 1983; 4:129-137.
- 29. McCORMICK, M, SHAPIRO, B and STARFIELD, B: Injury and Its Correlates Among 1-Year-Old Children. American Journal of Diseases of Children 1981; 135:159-163.
- 30. MANHEIMER, DI and MELLINGER, GD: Personality Characteristics of the Child Accident Repeater. Child Development 1967; 38:491-513.
- 31. MATHENY, A, BROWN, A and WILSON, R: Behavioral Antecedents of Accidental Injuries in Early Childhood: A Study of Twins.

  Journal of Pediatrics 1971; 79:122-124.
- 32. SOBEL, R and MARGOLIS, J: Repetitive Poisoning in Children: A Psychosocial Study. <u>Pediatrics</u> 1965; <u>35</u>:641-651.
- 33. LEWIS, M, SOLNIT, A, GABRIELSON, I and KLATSKIN, E: An Exploratory Study of Accidental Ingestion of Poison in Young Children. <u>Journal American Academy of Child Psychology</u> 1966; 5:255-271.
- 34. STEWART, M, THACH, B and FRIEDIN, M: Accidental Poisoning and the Hyperactive Child Syndrome. <u>Journal of Clinical</u>
  <u>Psychiatry</u> 1970; <u>31</u>:403-407.
- 35. SIBERT, J and NEWCOMBE, R: Accidental Ingestion of Poisons and Child Personality. <u>Postgraduate Medical Journal</u> 1977; 53:254-256.
- 36. JOHNSON, CJ, CARTER, AP, HARLIN, VK and ZOLLER, G: Student Injuries Due to Aggressive Behavior in the Seattle Public Schools During the School Year 1969-1970. American Journal of Public Health 1974; 64:904-906.
- 37. BEAUTRAIS, AL, FZRGUSSON, DM and SHANNON, FT: Life Events and Childhood Morbidity: A Prospective Study. <u>Pediatrics</u> 1982; 70:935-940.
- 38. DAVIDSON, L and HUGHES, S: Abstract. Accidents in Children: Is Hyperactive Behavior a Risk Factor? Abstracted. American Journal of Epidemiology 1984; 120:481.
- 39. PLIONIS, EM: Family Functioning and Childhood Accident Occurrence. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry 1977; 47:250-263.
- 40. KEMPE, C, SILVERMAN, F, STEELE, B, DROEGEMUELLER, W and SILVER, H: The Battered Child Syndrome. <u>Journal of the American Medical Association</u> 1962; <u>181</u>:17-24.
- 41. WASSERMAN, S: The Abused Parent of the Abuse Child. Children 1967; 14:175-179.



The state of the s

- 42. OPPENHEIMER, A: Triumph Over Trauma in the Treatment of Child Abuse. Social Casework 1978; 9:352-358.
- 43. EVANS, AL: Personality Characteristics and Disciplinary Attitudes of Child-Abusing Parenus. Child Abuse and Neglect 1980; 4:179-187.
- 44. SHORKEY, CT: Sense of Personal Worth, Self-Esteem and Anomie of Child-Abusing Mothers and Controls. <u>Journal of Clinical Psychology</u> 1980; 36:3.
- 45. ANDERSON, SC and LAUDERDALE, ML: Characteristics of Abusive Parents: A Look at Self-Esteem. Child Abuse and Neglect 1982; 6:285-293.
- 46. MAIN, M and GOLDWYN, R: Predicting Rejection of Her Infant From Mother's Representation of Her Own Experience: Implication for the Abused-Abusing Intergenerational Cycle. Child Abuse and Neglect 1984; 8:203-217.
- 47. SPINETTA, J and RIGLEZ, D: The Child-Abusing Parent: A Psychological Review. <u>Psychological Bulletin</u> 1972; 77:296-304.
- 48. STEELE, B and POLLOCK, C: A Psychiatric Study of Parents Who Abuse Infants and Small Children, in, <u>The Battered Child</u> (2nd Ed.). Helfer, RE and Kempe, CH (eds), Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1974, pp. 89-134.
- 49. HUNTER, RS, KILSTROM, N, KRAYBILL, EN and LODA, F:
  Antecedents of Child Abuse and Neglect in Premature Infants: A
  Prospective Study in a Newborn Intensive Care Unit.
  Pediatrics 1978; 61:629-635.
- 50. O'CONNOR, S, VIETZE, PM, SHERROD, KB, SANDLER, HM and ALTEMEIER, WA: Reduced Incidence of Parenting Inadequacy Following Rooming-In. <u>Pediatrics</u> 1980; <u>66</u>:176-182.
- 51. BAVOLEK, S: <u>Handbook of the Adult-Adolescent Parenting</u>
  <u>Inventory (AAPI)</u>. Family Development Associates, Schaumburg,
  Ill., 1984.
- 52. HAYNES, CF, CUTLER, C, GRAY, J and KEMPE, RS: Hospitalized Cases of Nonorganic Failure to Thrive: The Scope of the Problem and Short-term Lay Health Visitor Intervention. Child Abuse and Neglect 1984; 8:229-242.
- 53. WIDOM, CS: Sampling Effects and Implication for Child Abuse Research. Paper presented at the Third National Family Violence Research Conference, Durham, N.H., July 6-9, 1987.
- 54. LYNCH, M: Ill-Health and Child Abuse. The Lancet 1975; II: 317-319.



- 55. LYNCH, M: Residential Therapy--A Place of Safety, in Helfer, RE and Kempe, CH (eds): Child Abuse and Neglect: The Family and the Community, Cambridge, Ballinger, 1976.
- 56. POLANSKY, NA, CHALMERS, MA, BUTTENWIESER, E and WILLIAMS, DP: Damaged Parents. Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1981.
- 57. BROWN, GW and DAVIDSON, S: Social Class, Psychiatric Disorder of Mother, and Accidents to Children. The Lancet 1978; II:378-380.
- 58. MATHENY, A: Injuries Among Toddlers: Contributions from Child, Mother, and Family. <u>Journal of Pediatric Psychology</u> 1986; 11:163-176.
- 59. STRAUS, M, GELLES, R and STEINMETZ, S: <u>Behind Closed Doors:</u> <u>Violence in the American Family</u>. New York, Doubleday, 1980.
- 60. HILBERMAN, E and MUNSON, K: Sixty Battered Women.

  <u>Victimology</u>. An International Journal 1977-78; 2:460-471.
- 61. BOLTON, FG, LANER, RH and KANE, SP: Child Maltreatment Risk Among Adolescent Mothers: A Study of Reported Cases.

  American Journal of Orthopsychiatry 1980; 50:489-504.
- 62. SPEARLY, JL and LAUDERDALE, M: Community Characteristics and Ethnicity in the Prediction of Child Maltreatment Rates.

  <u>Child Abuse and Neglect</u> 1983; 7:91-105.
- 63. NATHORST-WESTFELD, J: Environmental Factors in Childhood Accidents: A Prospective Study in Goteborg, Sweden. Acta Paediatr Scan 1982; 291 (Suppl):1-75.
- 64. LEARMONTH, A: Factors in Child Burn and Scald Accidents in Bradf rd 1969 to 1973. <u>Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health</u> 1979; <u>33</u>:270-273.
- 65. MACKAY, A, HALPERN, J, McLOUGHLIN, E, LOCKE, J and CRAWFORD, JD: A Comparison of Age Specific Burn Injury in Five Massachusetts Communities. American Journal of Public Health 1979; 69:1146-1150.
- 66. ADELSTEIN, A and WHITE, G: Child Health: A Collection of Studies. London, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1976.
- 67. MARE, RD: Socioeconomic Effects on Child Mortality in the United States. American Journal of Public Health 1982; 72:539-547.
- 68. LANGLEY, J: Injury Control: Psychosocial Considerations.

  Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied

  Disciplines 1984; 25:349-356.



- 69. NERSESIAN, WS, PETIT, MR, SHAPER, R, LEMIEUX, D and NAOR, E: Childhood Death and Poverty: A Study of All Childhood Deaths in Maine, 1976 to 1980. Pediatrics 1985; 75:41-50.
- 70. RIVARA, FP and BARBER, M: Sociodemographic Determinates of Pedestrian Injuries. <u>Pediatrics</u> 1985; <u>76</u>:375-381.
- 71. CHAMBERLAIN, R and SIMPSON, R: <u>The Prevalence of Illness in Childhood</u>. London, Pitman Medical Publishing Co. Ltd., 1979.
- 72. PELTON, L: Child Abuse and Neglect: The Myth of Classlessness. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry 1978; 48:608-617.
- 73. VIANO, E: Attitudes Toward Child Abuse Among American Professionals. Paper presented at the Meeting of the International Society for Research on Aggression, Toronto, Can. (1974).
- 74. GELLES, R: Violence Toward Children in the United States.

  American Journal of Orthopsychiatry 1978; 48:580-592.
- 75. BAVOLEK, S: Primary Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect: Identification of High-risk Adolescents. Child Abuse and Neglect 1979; 3:1071-1080.
- 76. KLEIN, D: Societal Influences on Childhood Accidents.

  Accident Analysis and Prevention 1980; 12:275-281.
- 77. POLANSKY, NA, CHALMERS, MA, BUTTENWIESER, E and WILLIAMS, D.P. Isolation of the Neglectful Family. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry 1979; 49:149-152.
- 78. STRAUS, MA: Stress and Child Abuse, in Helfer, RE and Kempe. CH (eds): <u>The Battered Child</u> (3rd Ed.), Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1980, pp. 86-103.
- 79. WILLIAMS, AW, WARE, JE, Jr and DONALD, C: A Model of Mental Health, Life Events, and Social Supports Applicable to General Populations. <u>Journal of Health and Social Behavior</u> 1981; 22:324-336.
- 80. TURNER, RJ: Social Support as a Contingency in Psychological Well Being. <u>Journal of Health and Social Behavior</u> 1981; 22:357-367.
- 81. COLLETTA, ND: Support Systems After Divorce: Incidence and Impact. <u>Journal of Marriage and the Family</u> 1979; <u>41</u>:837-846.
- 82. PASCOE, JM and EARP, JA: The Effects of Mothers' Social Support and Life Changes on the Stimulation of Their Children in the Home. American Journal of Public Health 1984; '74:358-360.



- 83. BROWNE, GW, BROLCHAIN, MN and HARRIS, T: Social Class and Psychiatric Disturbance Among Women in an Urban Population. Sociology 1975; 9:225-254.
- 84. MILLER, PM and INGHAM, JG: Friends, Confidants, and Symptoms.
  Social Psychiatry 1976; 11:51-58.
- 85. OATES, RK, DAVIS, AA and RYAN, MG: Predictive Factors for Child Abuse. Australian Paediatric Journal 1980; 16:239-243.
- 86. BELSKY, J: The Determinants of Parenting: A Process Model. Child Development 1984; 55:83-96.
- 87. DANIEL, JH, HAMPTON, RL and NEWBERGER, EH: Child Abuse and Accidents in Black Families: A Controlled Comparative Study.

  American Journal of Orthopsychiatry 1983; 53:645-653.
- 88. SOBEL, R: The Psychiatry Implications of Accidental Poisoning in Childhood. <u>Pediatric Clinics of North America</u> 1970; 17:653-685.
- 89. MARTIN, HP: Which Children Get Abused?, in Martin, HP (ed): The Abused Child: A Multidisciplinary Approach to Developmental Issues and Treatment, Cambridge, Ballinger, 1976, pp. 27-41.
- 90. BERGER, LR: Childhood Injuries: Recognition and Prevention. Current Problems in Pediatrics 1981; 12:1-59.
- 91. PADILLA, ER, ROHSENOW, DS and BERGMAN, AB: Predicting Accident Frequency in Children. <u>Pediatrics</u> 1976; <u>58</u>:223-226.
- 92. HUSBAND, P and HINTON, P: Families of Children with Repeated Accidents. Archives of Diseases in Childhood 1972; 47:396-400.
- 93. SIBERT, R: Stress in Families of Children Who Have Ingested Poisons. <u>British Medical Journal</u> 1975; 3:87-89.
- 94. JONES, J: The Child Accident Repeater. Clinical Pediatrics 1980; 19:284-288.
- 95. MARTIN, HL: Antecedents of Burns and Scalds in Children.
  British Journal of Medical Psychology 1970; 43:39-47.
- 96. DEAN, A, LIN, N and ENSEL, WM: The Epidemiological Significance of Social Support Systems in Depression, in Simmons, RG (ed.): Research in Community and Mental Health, Greenwich, JAI Press, 1981, pp. 77-109.
- 97. FERGUSSON, DM, HORWOOD, LJ and SHANNON, FT: Relationship of Family Life Events, Maternal Depression and Child-Rearing Problems. <u>Pediatrics</u> 1984; 73:773-776.

- 98. BILLINGS, AG and MOOS, RH: Comparisons of Children of Depressed and Nondepressed Parents: A Socio-Environmental Perspective. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology 1983; 11:463-486.
- 99. ORVASCHEL, H, WEISSMAN, MM and KIDD, KK: The Children of Depressed Patients; Depression in Children. <u>Journal of Affective Disorders</u> 1980; 2:1-16.
- 100. JUSTICE, B and DUNCAN, D: Life Crisis as a Precursor to Child Abuse. Public Health Reports 1976; 91:110-115.
- 101. DORON, LD: Mothers' Disciplinary Responses under Controllable and Uncontrollable Stress; A Child Abuse Analogue.

  <u>Dissertation Abstracts International</u> 42:2534A. (University Microfilm No. 81-21, 094, 1984).
- 102. JUSTICE, B and JUSTICE, R: Etiology of Physical Abuse of Children and Dynamics of Coercive Treatment. In: Clinical Approaches to Family Violence. J. C. Hansen and L. R. Barnhill (Eds.), Aspen, Rockville, MD. (1982), pp. 1-20.
- 103. TYLER, AH: A Comparison of Child Abusing and Non-Abusing Fathers on Measures of Marital Adjustment, Life Stress and Social Support. <u>Dissertation Abstracts International</u> 44:161 (University Microfilms No. 83-119, 376, 1983)
- 104. KANNER, AD, COYNE, JC, SCHAEFER, C and LAZARUS, RS:
  Comparison of Two Modes of Stress Measurement: Daily Hassles
  and Uplifts Versus Major Life Events. <u>Journal of Behavioral</u>
  Medicine 1981; 4:1-39.
- 105. HALL, LA, WILLIAMS, CA and GREENBERG, RS: Supports, Stressors, and Depressive Symptoms in Low-Income Mothers of Young Children. American Journal of Public Health 1985; 75:518-522.
- 106. PROGRAM AREA COMMITTEE ON ACCIDENT PREVENTION. Accident Prevention. Halsey, MN (ed), New York, McGraw-Hill, 1961.
- 107. GALLAGHER, SS, GUYER, B, KOTELCHUCK, M et al: A Strategy for the Reduction of Childhood Injuries in Massachusetts. New England Journal of Medicine 1982; 307:1015-1019.
- 108. COALITION TO BAN HANDGUNS AND AMERICAN YOUTH WORK CENTER, <u>Kids</u> and <u>Guns</u>. Coalition to Ban Handguns, Washington, D.C. 1987.
- 109. Recognition and Reporting of Child Maltreatment. Findings From the National Incidence Study. Westat Inc., Rockville, Md. 1986.



#### TABLE 1

### INJURIES HIGHLY SUGGESTIVE OF CHILD ABUSE

#### SKELETAL AND CRANIAL INJURIES

Metaphyseal and epiphyseal injuries
Spiral fractures
Skull fracture
Multiple fractures
Rib fracture
Subdural hematomas

#### OCULAR INJURY

Conjunctival and retinal hemorrhage

#### CUTANEOUS INJURIES

Human bites

Bruises to face and buttocks

Bruises or lacerations caused by looped cord, belt, stick or hand

Immersion burns

Cigarette burns

Genital trauma

Bilateral injuries

Circumferential injuries (wrists, ankles or neck)

Multiple bruises or other lesions

#### INTERNAL INJURIES

Abdominal injuries (tears, ruptures, or hematomas of the viscera)
Water inhalation

#### OTHER

Munchausen by prcxy Drug injestion



## CHILD MALTREATMENT

### INJURY RISK FACTORS TO CHILDREN

## UNINTENTIONAL INJURY

#### **PREDISPOSING**

TABLE 2

Prematurity Congenital anomalies Neonatal illness Child behavior

Child development

Disposition/temperament Mo's history Maternal illness Maternal depression

Maternal low self-esteem

Parental abuse of drugs and alcohol

Single parenthood Marital violence Unemployment

SES

Cultural beliefs and values re: Cultural beliefs re: fate corporal punishment Attitudes about perenting and beliefs about child development

Disability

Aggressive Behavior Cognitive & developmental characteristics

Temperament

Maternal emotional instability, inactivity, lack of energy

Maternal psychiatric disorders

Single parenthood marital conflict

SES

Unemployment

MEDIATING

Social support Social isolation

Social isolation

PRECIPITATING

Chronic stressors: Marital discord chronic stressors such as: marital discord financial difficulties

Acute life events

Acute life events such as: 31 death

unemployment illness

# FIGURE 1 ECOLOGIC MODEL



